



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,560	10/15/2004	Keith Robert Broerman	PU020131	4196

7590 09/29/2008
Joseph S Tripoli
Thomson Licensing Inc
Patent Department
PO Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, THANH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2144

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10511560	10/15/04	BROERMAN ET AL.	PU020131

Joseph S Tripoli
Thomson Licensing Inc
Patent Department
PO Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

Thanh Tammy Nguyen

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2144	20080925
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

In response to AFTER ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.31 filed on September 23, 2008. Examiner stated reason for allowance as shown in pages 8-9 of Notice of allowance filed on September 18, 2008. Specially with this Appeal brief filed on July 29, 2008, The examiner is no need to state the reason for allowance as stated: "This communication warrants no examiner's reason for allowance, as applicant's reply makes evident the reason for allowance, satisfying the record as whole as required by rule 37 CFR 1.104 (e). In this case, the substance of applicant's remarks filed on January 19, 2007 with respect to the amended claim limitations point out and make clear the reason claims are patentable over the prior art of record. Thus, the reason for allowance is in all probability evident from the record and no statement for examiner's reason for allowance is necessary (see MPEP 13202.14)."

/Thanh Tammy Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2144